

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,745	01/17/2002		Pankaj S. Parekh	IPOL-0002	3266
	7590	09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
David B. Rite			ZAND, KAMBIZ		
Thelen Reid & P.O. Box 6406		LLP.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	95164	-0640	2132		
			•	DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/052,745	PAREKH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kambiz Zand	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro-				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 U.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,14-21,27,29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,14-21,27,29 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 01/17/2002 is/are: a)					

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

 The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.

- 2. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 9-13, 22-26, 28, 30 and 34-39 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 8, 14-15, 17, 21, 27, 29, and 31 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 14-21, 27, 29, and 31-33 are pending.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please update page 1, paragraph [0002] under the heading "CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" including information of any application that has been matured into a patent.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

9. Claims 1-8, 14-21, 27, 29, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A markup language is a disembodied data structure in the forms of packets having NO TANGIBLE EMBODIMENT and therefore, is nonstatutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354; 31 USPQ2d 1754. See MPEP § 2106 IV. B. 1. See http://www.uspto.gov/web/menu/pbmethod/ (35 U.S.C. 101 Training Materials).

Examiner suggests the following in order to overcome 101 rejections above:

Adding the limitation "a network having plurality of servers and customers, transmitting and receiving the stream of packets" after the limitation "comprising:"

Into claim 1, and 27; and

Adding the limitation "having a network having plurality of servers and customers, transmitting and receiving the stream of packets" after the limitation "An apparatus" line 1 of the claim 14 in harmony with the applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102 § 103

- Examiner maintains the previous Former Examiner's rejection of the claims in the light of Applicant's arguments on pages 12 and 13 of the response filed since applicant clearly disclose the differences of the prior art of the record with applicant's invention in utilization of "packet extension to eliminate shared memory", a point that examiner agrees with. However In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. "packet extension to eliminate shared memory") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- However examiner would make the following suggestions in order to expedite the examination process:
- Claims 1-8, 14-21, 27, 29, and 31-33 would be allowable if rewritten to
 overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action
 above; and inserting the limitation "eliminating shared memory" after the
 limitation "appending an extension to the packet" in line 4 of claims 1, 14 and 27.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/052,745

Page 5

Art Unit: 2132

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-8, 14-21, 27, 29 and 31-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7, 058, 821. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations set forth in the instant application above is anticipated by claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7, 058, 821.

Examiner would provide a complete comparison of the claims once the issue of 101 above is resolved and if such comparison becomes necessary.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2132

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

Art Unit: 2132

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

09/13/2006

AU 2132